



CTN Test Report  
90-011

UCRL-ID-103202

**Technical Publication  
Transfer Test with  
Boeing Computer Services:  
MIL-M-28001 (SGML) and  
MIL-D-28000 Class I (IGES)  
Quick Short Test Report**

**March 23, 1990**



**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

19960822 143

**DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3**



Prepared for  
Air Force Logistics Command  
AITI Project



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

# DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced  
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the  
Office of Scientific and Technical Information  
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831  
Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401.

Available to the public from the  
National Technical Information Service  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
5285 Port Royal Rd.,  
Springfield, VA 22161

## Price Code

## Page Range

A01

Microfiche

## Papercopy Prices

A02	1- 10
A03	11- 50
A04	51- 75
A05	76-100
A06	101-125
A07	126-150
A08	151-175
A09	176-200
A10	201-225
A11	226-250
A12	251-275
A13	276-300
A14	301-325
A15	326-350
A16	351-375
A17	376-400
A18	401-425
A19	426-450
A20	451-475
A21	476-500
A22	501-525
A23	526-550
A24	551-575
A25	576-600
A99	601 & UP

**Technical Publication  
Transfer Test with  
Boeing Computer Services:  
MIL-M-28001 (SGML) and  
MIL-D-28000 Class I (IGES)**

**Quick Short Test Report**

**March 23, 1990**

**Prepared by**  
Lawrence Livermore  
National Laboratory

**LLNL Contact**  
Jill Farrell  
(415) 423-6348

**AFLC Contact**  
Mel Lammers  
(513) 257-3085



**Prepared for**  
Air Force Logistics Command  
AITI Project



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

**DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3**

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Test Parameters .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>1840A Analysis .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>SGML Analysis .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>IGES Analysis .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and Recommendations.....</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Test Parameters

**Test Plan:** CTN89-TM-23

**Date of  
Evaluation:** December 27, 1989

**Evaluators:** Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
P.O. Box 808, L-542  
Livermore, CA 94550

Syscon Corporation  
3990 Sherman Street  
San Diego, CA 92110

**Data  
Originator:** Boeing Computer Services  
Publishing Systems  
P.O. Box 24346  
Seattle, WA 98124-0346

**Data  
Description:** TO 11-W89.XX-2

Intended      1 document declaration file  
                 6 text files  
                 1 IGES file  
                 1 DTD file

Actual          6 document declaration files  
                 6 text files  
                 1 IGES file  
                 6 DTD files

**Data Source  
System:** 1840A/SGML Interleaf Technical Publishing System 4.0.66  
Interleaf CALS Preparedness Package (Beta)  
Apollo DN4000 Computer operating AEGIS 10.1

IGES           Interleaf IGES Processor 1.0

**Evaluation  
Tools Used:** 1840A      CTN TAPEVAL (0.9) VAX/VMS  
SGML           Datalogics DTD and SGML Instance Parsers  
IGES           IGES Data Analysis, Inc. Parser/Verify/View  
Rosetta Technologies, Inc. PreVIEW

**Standards  
Tested:** MIL-STD-1840A Notice 1 (1840A)  
MIL-M-28001 (28001)  
MIL-D-28000 Amendment 1 (28000) Class I

## **2 1840A Analysis**

### **2.1 External Packaging**

Boeing Computer Services requested that the CTN not analyze the external labeling and packaging.

### **2.2 Transmission Envelope**

#### **2.2.1 Tape Formats**

Boeing copied all files to tape at the correct record lengths and block sizes. The variable length files contained illegal line feeds at the end of every line.

#### **2.2.2 Declaration Files and Header Fields**

CTN analysis of the 1840A declaration files and header fields revealed the following errors:

First, Boeing Computer Services planned to write an 1840A tape containing a document of six chapters. The data set should have contained one declaration, one IGES, one DTD, and six SGML instance files. The tape actually contained six declaration, one IGES, six DTD, and six SGML instance files. Due to a design limitation that Boeing was not aware of, the beta version of Interleaf's software created a declaration and a DTD file for each text file. These extra files caused the file count records in the declaration files to be in error. Had the software not created the extra declaration and DTD files, the file count registered within the original declaration file would have been correct.

The design limitation mentioned above is that the beta software does not allow multiple SGML instance files under one document declaration file. The Interleaf software instead requires the user to merge the chapters into one SGML instance file before preparing the CALS document. Interleaf plans to correct this limitation in a future software release.

A blank line placed after the 1840A header fields and before the IGES data created a second error. This blank line, if not accounted for, could halt an IGES processor. The problem's cause, however, is that the 1840A standard does not clearly state that it does not allow a blank line after the last header field. The CTN will recommend this change to 1840A. Interleaf, already aware of the problem, has modified its latest software release accordingly.

### **3 SGML Analysis**

Due to the errors mentioned in section 2.2.2 of this report, the Interleaf software treated each of the six SGML instance files as separate documents. The software added the appropriate tags to correctly allow this separation. The CTN removed these extra tags and appended the files together for parsing. The parser revealed that, in this form, the SGML complied with 28001.

## 4 IGES Analysis

Detailed analysis and display of the IGES file was not possible because the file was syntactically incorrect. The CTN, however, did discover the following:

Interleaf created the syntactically incorrect file by writing parameter data past the line boundary of the IGES Parameter Data Section. Technically, this parameter data includes both the value and its trailing delimiter; the line boundary is column 64. This field overrun makes the file unprocessable by almost any translation or evaluation software. Also, the Global Section's IGES Version Number stated that the file conformed to IGES Version 2.0, a version that 28000 does not allow. Upon questioning however, Interleaf claims it writes its IGES data to Version 3.0, but that the processor inserted the wrong value. Furthermore, the file did not contain the Drawing (404) and View (410) Entities as required by 28000. Lastly, the file did not contain in its Start Section the statement of conformance to the subset nor an illustration identifier as 28000 requires. Technical representatives at Interleaf now know of these problems and hope to address them in future releases.

This IGES file also reemphasized the issue of data quality. Boeing's IGES file was unusually large (13MB) due to the procedure used in its generation. Boeing originally scanned most of the illustration into the company's CAD system. During this process, the computer conducted a raster to vector conversion on the data. Boeing then merged the scanned data with a smaller portion of the illustration previously saved as a wire frame model. Finally, Boeing transferred the illustration to the Interleaf system which produced the final IGES file.

It is difficult to pinpoint the real culprit, but the CTN believes the following is true. The original raster to vector conversion created an illustration not of the usual ellipses, text, dashed lines, and shading, but of tiny line segments. This file of line segments never regained any functionality; it only decomposed further through each data translation. This both increased the file's size and decreased the illustration's usefulness. A graphics file of this size and limited functionality might have been better represented as a raster image. In our experience, scanners with raster to vector converters are rarely able to create functional IGES data.

The 28000 specification, however, does not currently disallow this method of representation (advanced alphanumeric and geometric constructs saved as line segments). This points to the need for more stringent requirements on the quality of digitally delivered data. The CTN has and will again recommend that data quality be addressed in the CALS standards.



## **5 Conclusions and Recommendations**

In summary, the 1840A tape from Boeing Computer Services created a good learning experience for all. The test helped Boeing find a design limitation in its Interleaf software. In addition, Interleaf learned that its software leaves incorrect spaces between the 1840A headers and data portions of its files. Interleaf also learned that its IGES files are syntactically incorrect and do not meet all of 28000's requirements. Finally and most importantly, the CTN rediscovered ambiguities and data quality issues in 1840A and 28000. These will lead to further CTN recommendations for changes to the standards.

The CTN recommends that:

1. 1840A must clearly state that the data must begin immediately after the last header record, and that
2. the standards need to address more stringent requirements on the quality of digitally delivered data.